S.B.Cr.Misc. Bail App. No. 5350/2007
(Raju Vs. State of Rajasthan)

Date of order : 30/10/2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR, J.

Mr. M.K.Garg for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Upadhyay, Public Prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the order impugned as also statement of prosecutrix recorded by Judicial Magistrate under Section 164 Cr.P.C.

In her statement, it is the prosecutrix who stated that she wanted to marry with petitioner Raju but he did not marry her and went away. She has been waiting for him.

Be that as it may, without commenting on the merit of the case, looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and having considered the oral arguments advanced by both the parties, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, this bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that petitioner Raju S/o Kanha Ram be released on bail in FIR No.632/2007 P.S. Suratgarh, district Sri Ganganagar, provided he executes a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 20,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.

10,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(H.R.PANWAR), J.

RP